

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/776,063	Applicant(s) BENCO ET AL.	
	Examiner Arlen Soderquist	Art Unit 1743	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Arlen Soderquist.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mark D. Russett.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 December 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

19-27

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
examiner suggested changes that would avoid duplicate claims problems. An agreement was reached on 12-20-06 and applicant authorized an examiner's amendment to make the changes
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)